FAQ for Lifelong Bone health webinar

We asked Prof Harvey, Dr Curtis and Dr Nedungayil to respond to the questions that remained unanswered at the webinar on 24th June: their answers are summarised below.

Question	Response
Where a patient with osteoporosis	The differential for bone pain is wide of course, so care needs to be
has very suggestive bone pain	taken to consider a range of possibilities. In general MRI is good for
symptoms, what kind of additional	detecting bone marrow oedema and thus evidence of subtle fracture
radiology imaging is used in	changes or inflammatory processes. CT scan in is better to look for
combination with a DXA scan to	subtle cortical discontinuity for example and the approach should be
detect vertebral micro-fractures that	discussed with the musculoskeletal radiology team and is ideally
don't show up on X-rays?	managed in the metabolic bone clinic
Please could you discuss any	There is evidence for increased fracture risk in all these conditions
evidence for increased osteoporosis	with contributions from bone loss and increased falls risk. This
and fracture risks in people with long	evidence most frequently comes from large database studies such as
term conditions like CVA, PD, MS, CP.	the Clinical Research Practice Datalink, with fracture outcomes, and
	smaller studies in which BMD has been measured. <u>Clinical Practice</u>
	Research Datalink CPRD
Is it possible to reverse osteoporosis	There is evidence for reversal of BMD loss in premenopausal women
in premenopausal women whose	with conditions such as anorexia (reversed by recovery of BMI and
reason for having poor BMD has	recommencement of periods) and disorders such as Coeliac disease,
been removed?	though the time taken for recovery is long (years) and varies with
	severity of the underlying cause - e.g. gluten free diet in coeliac
	disease leads to partial recovery by 1 year, full recovery by 5 years
	(Farfaglia et al, Nutrients 2015 <u>10.3390/nu7053347</u>).
From the case study presented, do	Yes, people consuming plant based diets rather than omnivorous
vegans in general have higher risk of	diets have been shown to have lower BMD (see this meta-analysis by
having low bone mass?	Li et al published in 2021 in Archives of Osteoporosis <u>10.1007/s11657-</u>
	021-00955-0). In some studies this difference is explained by BMI
	differences (lower in vegans) but in others these differences persist
	after adjustment for BMI.
Do you have advice on osteoporosis	There is no evidence currently on treatment benefits beyond 10 years
management in older adults in the	and assuming that the over 80s have been on bone sparing agents for
85+ age groups and 90 + year olds?	a long time, management needs to be individualised. While they are
Often these patients are high risk and	at higher risk of fracture and falls and there are studies showing
need treatment, but guidance	benefit on continuing bone spring agents, this decision has to be
doesn't appear to be clear.	balanced with the risks posed by other co-morbidities, particularly
	renal function, polypharmacy, gastrointestinal motility issues and the
	ability to adhere to taking the medications in the correct way.
When a patient has been	The decision to stop the anti-resorptive depends upon the patient's
commenced on anti-resorptive	risk of fracture when reassessed following cessation of the
medication due to long term	corticosteroid. If the risk is below the intervention threshold at this
glucocorticoid, should these be	point then I would still continue the bisphosphonate for 6 months or
automatically discontinued once the	so after cessation of the steroid to cover the residual effect on
steroids have been weaned or should	fracture risk.
a FRAX +/- DXA be done after	
discontinuation of steroids to re-	
assess if they are still above the	
NOGG treatment threshold?	
Local trust guidelines suggest P1NP	Agreed. Bone turnover markers will be raised in the months following
levels should be assessed before	a fracture and thus may not be reliable.

initiating treatment with bisphosphonates and after 6 months on treatment in primary care to assess treatment response. Since, PINP increases following a fracture, would this still be appropriate when bisphosphonates are started as secondary prevention treatment following a fragility fracture. Would the P1NP levels taken at this point this be representative?	
Is having a history of upper GI bleed/ oesophageal ulcer/ stomach ulcer contraindicates using oral Bisphosphonates?	While abnormalities of the oesophagus- Strictures, achalasia, dysmotility – are contraindications for oral Bisphosphonates, caution needs to be exercised when prescribing in the presence of upper GI disorders. This includes Active gastro-intestinal bleeding; duodenitis; dysphagia gastritis; history (within 1 year) of ulcers; surgery of the upper gastro-intestinal tract; symptomatic oesophageal disease; ulcers; upper gastro-intestinal disorders. <u>https://bnf.nice.org.uk/drug/alendronic-acid.html#contraIndications</u>
Could you please explain how GI adverse effects should be managed in patients taking bisphosphonates? I have seen a lot of de-prescribing of bisphosphonates due to symptoms such as mild dyspepsia / mild reflux in secondary care. Should alendronate be changed to binosto / switched to risedronate (as some evidence of possible better GI side effect profile) / should concurrent PPI be trialled or would referral for parenteral treatment be appropriate?	Please see the narrative above regarding the 'cautions' for oral bisphosphonates. In clinical practice clinicians tend to err on the side of caution and hence the tendency to deprescribe. In my local area the preference is for IV Zoledronate or Denosumab decided on an individual basis. There are studies which do indicate risedronate may have a slightly better GI profile, but the risks still exist. Co-prescribing PPI with Bisphosphonates in presence of upper GI disorders is not advisable. I do not have experience with the effervescent preparations as its not part of the local formulary.